

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

National Union Fire Insurance Company of  
Pittsburgh, PA, et al.,

Case No. 2:25-cv-00399-RFB-EJY

Plaintiffs

v.

Affinitylifestyles.com, Inc. d/b/a Real Water,  
et al.,

Defendants

Evanston Insurance Company,

Case No. 2:25-cv-00670-CDS-DJA

Plaintiff

**Order Reassigning Cases**

v.

Affinitylifestyles.com, Inc. d/b/a Real Water,  
et al.,

Defendants

Interested party and plaintiff Evanston Insurance Company filed a notice of related cases<sup>1</sup> requesting that *National Union Fire Ins. Co., et al. v. Affinitylifestyles.com, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 2:25-cv-00399-RFB-EJY be reassigned to the district judge presiding over the related interpleader matters: *National Union Fire Ins. Co. v. Affinitylifestyles.com, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 2:25-cv-00083-CDS-EJY and *Evanston Insurance Company, et al. v. Affinitylifestyles.com, Inc. d/b/a Real Water, et al.*, Case No. 2:25-cv-00670-CDS-DJA. Notice, ECF No. 140.

In the notice, Evanston Insurance argues that (1) all three actions involve nearly the same defendants who assert identical claims, (2) the actions stem from the same underlying events,

<sup>1</sup> Evanston Insurance properly filed a notice of related cases in each action. See ECF No. 134 in Case No. 2:25-cv-00083-CDS-EJY and ECF No. 5 in Case No. 2:25-cv-00670-CDS-DJA.

1 and (3) all three actions involve similar questions of fact and the same or similar questions of  
 2 law. *Id.* at 2–4.

3 Under Local Rule 42-1(a), an action is considered related to another action when:

- 4 (1) Both actions involve the same parties and are based on the same or similar  
 5 claim;
- 6 (2) Both actions involved the same property, transaction, or event; [or]
- 7 (3) Both actions involve similar questions of fact and the same question of  
 8 law, and their assignment to the same district judge or magistrate judge  
 is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort[.]


LR 42-1(a).

9 Given that the actions involve the same or similar parties and predicate facts, and that  
 10 the plaintiffs in all three actions seek to interplead insurance proceeds to resolve underlying tort  
 11 claimants' bodily injury claims against Real Water, they are sufficiently related to justify  
 12 assignment to a single district judge under Rule 42-1. The district judges agree that assignment  
 13 to a single judge would foster more efficient proceedings, result in substantial savings of judicial  
 14 resources, and avoid duplication of labor. We also find that the same reasoning applies to the  
 15 assignment of the magistrate judge.

### 16 Conclusion

17 For those reasons, the Clerk of Court is kindly ordered to reassign Case No. 2:25-cv-  
 18 00399 to District Judge Cristina D. Silva and Case No. 2:25-cv-00670 to Magistrate Judge Elayna  
 19 J. Youchah.

20 Dated: May 15, 2025

21   
 22 Richard F. Boulware II  
 23 United States District Judge

  
 Cristina D. Silva  
 United States District Judge

24   
 25 Elayna J. Youchah  
 26 United States Magistrate Judge